

The Pinkerton Foundation

Developing a Comprehensive Geodatabase for Dams: Statistics and Properties

Department of Civil Engineering, The City College of New York, NOAA/Center for Earth System Sciences and Remote Sensing Technology (CREST), City University of New York (CUNY)



Abstract

Dams are barriers that are constructed to hold back water. However, other than for flood control, there are various other primary uses of dams, which include recreational use, which benefits the economy, and for fire protection. When a dam fails, the results can be catastrophic. People, important infrastructure (such as bridges), power plants, and the state's economy are put at a major risk. As a result, the objective of this project was to asses the features of dams in each state, such as height and storage, drainage area, maximum discharge, hazard potential, and primary purpose, to understand the capacity of which these dams are capable of harming people, infrastructure and the economy. This information was taken from the National Inventory of Dams (NID), and analyzed by ArcGIS/ArcMap and Microsoft Excel. From the images and graphs, it was concluded that dams from 16 states and Puerto Rico (PR) have an average discharge, the number of cubic feet per second which the spillway is capable of discharging when the reservoir is at its maximum designed water surface elevation, of over 7000 ft³/sec. Seven of these states and Puerto Rico, have dams that make up the 17 percent of all 90,580 dams in the United States that are high-hazard. In this project, features of dams were analyzed in order to understand how to prevent catastrophic events, due to dam failure, in the future.

Research Objectives

- > Understand the different aspects of Dams that can affect important infrastructure and life, including dam height, drainage area, storage, discharge, primary uses, and hazard potential.
- > Utilize this information to prevent floods that can lead to ruination of bridges and power plants.

| | | CorpsMap | National Invent | ory of Dams | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|--------------------|
| Table 1. 10 largest reservoirs in the U.STable 2. 10 tallest dams and their structural type | | | | | | al types and heigh |
| Dam Name | State | Max. Reservoir Capacity | Dam Name | River | Dam Type | NID Height (ft) |
| | | (acre-ft.) | Oroville | Feather River | Earth | 770 |
| Hoover | NV | 30,237,000 | Hoover | Colorado River | Concrete | 730 |
| Glen Canyon | AZ | 29,875,000 | Dworshak | North Fork | Concrete | 717 |
| Garrison | ND | 24,500,000 | | Clearwater River | | |
| Oahe | SD | 23,600,000 | Glen | Colorado River | Concrete | 710 |
| | | | Canyon | | | |
| Fort Peck | MT | 19,100,000 | New | North Yuba | A 1 | c 1 5 |
| Grand Coulee | WA | 9,562,000 | Bullards | River | Arch | 645 |
| Herbert Hoover | T | 9 510 000 | Dai New | | | |
| Dike | FL | 8,519,000 | Melones | Stanislaus River | Earth | 625 |
| Kentucky | KY | 7.535.400 | Mossyrock | Cowlitz River | Arch | 606 |
| y | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | Shasta | Sacramento | Concrete | 602 |
| Sam Rayburn | TX | 6,520,000 | Don Dodno | Kiver | Forth | 505 |
| | | | Don reuro | | Earth | 505 |
| Wright Patman | TX | 6,505,000 | Hungry | South Fork | Concrete | 564 |
| | | | Horse | Flathead River | | |

Table 3. Here, three of the four categories of hazard potential are defined. Downstream Hazard Potential is defined as potential hazard to the downstream area resulting from failure or mis-operation of the dam or facilities

| Hazard Potential Classification | Loss of Human Life | Economic, Environmental, Lifeline Losses |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Low | None expected | Low and generally limited to owner |
| Significant | None expected | Yes |
| High | Probable. One or more expected | Yes (but not necessary for this classification) |

Statistical Computations

$$H_t = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n h_i}{n}$$

where H indicates the average height (h) of *n* dams in the state of *t*.

$$Q_t = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n q_i}{n}$$

where Q indicates the average discharge (q) of *n* dams in the state of *t*.

$$DA_t = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} da}{n}$$

where DA indicates the average drainage area (da) of *n* dams in the state of *t*.

$$S_t = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n S_i}{n}$$

where S indicates the average storage (s) of n dams in the state of t.

Genesis Batista, Amy Mariñez, Nasser Najibi, and Naresh Devineni







Fig 1. This histograms presents the number of dams in each state. As a result of many devastating floods in Texas' early history, Texas has over 7,500 dams, the greatest number of Dams in any U.S. state.



Fig 2. This figure shows the number of dams completed each decade. In the 1960s, there was a peak in the number of Dams created as a result of increasing legislation geared to protecting homes vulnerable to flooding.



Fig 3. The dots represent the average NID height, defined as the maximum value of dam height, of all dams in each state (including PR).



Fig 4. Discharge is defined as number of cubic feet per second which the spillway is capable of discharging when the reservoir is at its maximum designed water surface elevation. The hexagons represent the average discharge of all dams in each state.

Average Drainage Area of Dams Across the U.S. Legend Drainage Area mi² **0.70 - 1200** 1201 - 5300 5301 - 17000 485

Fig 5. Drainage Area is defined as the area that drains to the dam. The triangles represent the average drainage area of all dams in each state.



Address: 160 Convent Ave., New York, NY 10031, USA NOAA/CREST website: http://noaacrest.org/

More Results



Fig 6. NID storage is defined as maximum value of normal storage and maximum storage The squares represent the average storage of all dams in each state.

| Dams by Hazard Potential (counts) | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--|
| High | 15498 | |
| Significant | 11882 | |
| Low | 60705 | |
| Undetermined | 2495 | |

| Dams by Primary | Purpose (counts) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Debris Control | 575 |
| Fire Protection | 10781 |
| Fish and Wildlife | 4930 |
| Flood Control | 16179 |
| Grade Stabilization | 906 |
| Hydroelectric | 2114 |
| Irrigation | 7706 |
| Navigation | 207 |
| Other | 8462 |
| Recreation | 25394 |
| Tailings | 1172 |
| Water Supply | 5628 |
| Unknown | 6526 |

Table 4. This table classifies all U.S. dams into four hazard potential
 categories.



Fig 7. High hazard potential dams count for more than half of the dams in Puerto Rico, Hawaii, Delaware, West Virginia, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, California, and New Mexico. Pie charts demonstrate the number of dams per state in their respective hazard category.

Table 5. The 13 most common dam usages, and number of dams that are used for each purpose.



Summary

- > There are 90,580 Dams in the United States, including in Puerto Rico.
- \geq 21 States have average dam heights greater than 30 feet.
- \geq 16 states and Puerto Rico have dams with high average discharge, of over 7000 ft³/sec.
- \geq 10 states have dams with average drainage area of 1200 mi².
- \geq 15498 dams are considered high potential.
- > Primary purposes of dams include, recreation, flood control, and fire protection.

Acknowledgements

- \succ This research project and my hires summer research internship are funded by the Pinkerton Foundation under the CUNY/CREST institute.
- > Thank you Prof.Naresh Devineni, my graduate mentors Nasser Najibi, and Arun Ravindranath.